arXiv:1101.3232v1 [math.GN] 17 Jan 2011

TOPOLOGICAL DYNAMICS INDEXED BY WORDS

VASSILIKI FARMAKI AND ANDREAS KOUTSOGIANNIS

Abstract. Starting with a combinatorial partition theorem for words over an infinite alphabet dominated by a fixed sequence, established recently by the authors, we prove recurrence results for topological dynamical systems indexed by such words. In this way we extend the classical theory developed by Furstenberg and Weiss of dynamical systems indexed by the natural numbers to systems indexed by words. Moreover, applying this theory to topological systems indexed by semigroups that can be represented as words we get analogous recurrence results for such systems.

Introduction

Furstenberg in collaboration with Weiss and Katznelson in the 1970's ([Fu], [FuW], [FuKa]) connected fundamental combinatorial results, such as the partition theorems of van der Waerden ([vdW], 1927) and Hindman ([H], 1974), with topological dynamics and particularly with phenomena of (multiple) recurrence for suitable sequences of continuous functions defined on a compact metric space into itself.

The theorems of van der Waerden and Hindman were unified by a partition theorem for words over a finite alphabet of Carlson ([C], 1988); recently Carlson's theorem was essentially strengthened by the authors, in [F], [FK], to a partition theorem (Theorem 1.2) for ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words (i.e. words over an infinite alphabet dominated by a fixed sequence).

Our starting point in this work is a topological formulation of the partition theorem for ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words (Theorem 2.1). Introducing the notion of a dynamical system of continuous maps (homeomorphisms in the multiple case) from a compact metric space into itself indexed by ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words, we apply this formulation to study (multiple) recurrence phenomena for these topological systems (Theorems 2.6, 2.15), extending the earlier results of Birkhoff ([Bi]) and Furstenberg-Weiss ([Fu], [FuW]).

By making use of the representation of rational and integer numbers as ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words (Example 1.1) established by Budak-Işik-Pym in [BIP], we obtain recurrence

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37Bxx; 54H20.

Key words and phrases. Topological dynamics, Ramsey theory, ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words, rational numbers, IP-limits.

results for dynamical systems indexed by rational numbers or by the integers (Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4). Moreover, we point out the way to obtain recurrence results for dynamical systems indexed by an arbitrary semigroup (Theorems 3.5, 3.7).

We will use the following notation.

Notation. *Let* $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ *be the set of natural numbers,* $\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ *the set of integer numbers,* $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{m}{n} : m \in \mathbb{Z},\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ *the set of rational numbers and* $\mathbb{Z}^- = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathbb{Q}^* = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$.

1. A PARTITION THEOREM FOR ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-LOCATED WORDS

In this section we will introduce the ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words and we will state a partition theorem for these words proved in [FK].

An **ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located word** over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n :\ n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$ dominated by $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*}$, where $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences, is a function w from a non-empty, finite subset F of $\mathbb{Z}^*$ into the alphabet Σ such that $w(n) = w_n \in \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_n}\}$ for every $n \in F \cap \mathbb{N}$ and $w_n \in \{\alpha_{-k_n}, \ldots, \alpha_{-1}\}$ for every $n \in F \cap \mathbb{Z}^-$. So, the set $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$ of all (constant) ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words over Σ dominated by $\vec{k}$ is:

$$\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}) = \{w = w_{n_1} \ldots w_{n_l} : l \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 < \ldots < n_l \in \mathbb{Z}^* \text{ and } w_{n_i} \in \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_{n_i}}\} \text{ if } n_i > 0,\ w_{n_i} \in \{\alpha_{-k_{n_i}}, \ldots, \alpha_{-1}\} \text{ if } n_i < 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Analogously, the set of **ω-located words** over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n :\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ dominated by the increasing sequence $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is

$$L(\Sigma, \vec{k}) = \{w = w_{n_1} \ldots w_{n_l} : l \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 < \ldots < n_l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } w_{n_i} \in \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_{n_i}}\} \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Example 1.1. We will give some examples of sets that can be represented as ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words.

(1) According to Budak-Işik-Pym in [BIP], every rational number q has a unique expression in the form

$$q = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} q_{-s} \frac{(-1)^s}{(s+1)!} \ + \ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_r (-1)^{r+1} r!$$

where $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \leq q_{-s} \leq s$ for every $s > 0$, $0 \leq q_r \leq r$ for every $r > 0$ and $q_{-s} = q_r = 0$ for all but finite many r, s. Setting $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n :\ n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$, where $\alpha_{-n} = \alpha_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^*}$, where $k_{-n} = k_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function

$$g^{-1} : \mathbb{Q}^* \to \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}),$$

which sends q to the word $w = q_{t_1} \dots q_{t_l} \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$, where $\{t_1, \dots, t_l\} = \{t \in \mathbb{Z}^* : q_t \neq 0\}$, is one-to-one and onto.

(2) According to [BIP], for a given increasing sequence $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $k_n \geq 2$, every integer number $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a unique expression in the form

$$z = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} z_s (-1)^{s-1} l_{s-1}$$

where $l_0 = 1$, $l_s = k_1 \dots k_s$, for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(z_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \leq z_s \leq k_s$ for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z_s = 0$ for all but finite many s. Setting $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n : \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\alpha_n = n$, and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ the function

$$g^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}^* \to L(\Sigma, \vec{k}),$$

which sends z to the word $w = z_{s_1} \dots z_{s_t} \in L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$, where $\{s_1, \dots, s_t\} = \{s \in \mathbb{N} : z_s \neq 0\}$, is one-to-one and onto.

(3) For a given natural number $k > 1$, every natural number n has a unique expression in the form

$$n = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} n_s k^{s-1}$$

where $(n_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $0 \leq n_s \leq k-1$ and $n_s = 0$ for all but finite many s. Setting $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $k_n = k-1$ the function

$$g^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \to L(\Sigma, \vec{k}),$$

which sends n to the word $w = n_{s_1} \dots n_{s_l} \in L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$, where $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\} = \{s \in \mathbb{N} : n_s \neq 0\}$, is one-to-one and onto.

Let $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n : \ n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$ be an alphabet, $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences and $\upsilon \notin \Sigma$ be an entity which is called a **variable**.

The set of **variable ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words** over Σ dominated by $\vec{k}$ is:

$$\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) = \{w = w_{n_1} \dots w_{n_l} : l \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 < \dots < n_l \in \mathbb{Z}^*,\ w_{n_i} \in \{\upsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_{n_i}}\} \text{ if } n_i > 0,\ w_{n_i} \in \{\upsilon, \alpha_{-k_{n_i}}, \dots, \alpha_{-1}\} \text{ if } n_i < 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ and there exists } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ with } w_{n_i} = \upsilon\}.$$

The set of **variable ω-located words** over $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n : \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ dominated by the increasing sequence $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is:

$$L(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) = \{w = w_{n_1} \dots w_{n_l} : l \in \mathbb{N},\ n_1 < \dots < n_l \in \mathbb{N},\ w_{n_i} \in \{\upsilon, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_{n_i}}\} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ and there exists } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ with } w_{n_i} = \upsilon\}$$

We set $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k}) = \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}) \cup \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ and $L(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k}) = L(\Sigma, \vec{k}) \cup L(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$.

For $w = w_{n_1} \dots w_{n_l} \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k})$ the set $dom(w) = \{n_1, \dots, n_l\}$ is the *domain* of w. Let $dom^-(w) = \{n \in dom(w) : \ n < 0\}$ and $dom^+(w) = \{n \in dom(w) : \ n > 0\}$.

We define the set

$$\widetilde{L}_0(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) = \{w \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) : w_{i_1} = \upsilon = w_{i_2} \text{ for some } i_1 \in dom^-(w), i_2 \in dom^+(w)\}.$$

For $w = w_{n_1} \dots w_{n_r}, u = u_{m_1} \dots u_{m_l} \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k})$ with $dom(w) \cap dom(u) = \emptyset$ we define the **concatenating word**:

$$w \star u = z_{q_1} \dots z_{q_{r+l}} \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k}),$$

where $\{q_1 < \dots < q_{r+l}\} = dom(w) \cup dom(u), \ z_i = w_i$ if $i \in dom(w)$ and $z_i = u_i$ if $i \in dom(u)$.

The set $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k})$ can be endowed with **the relations** $<_{R_1}$, $<_{R_2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} w <_{R_1} u \Longleftrightarrow \ & dom(u) = A_1 \cup A_2 \text{ with } A_1, A_2 \neq \emptyset \text{ such that} \\ & \max A_1 < \min dom(w) \leq \max dom(w) < \min A_2, \\ w <_{R_2} u \Longleftrightarrow \ & \max dom(w) < \min dom(u). \end{aligned}$$

We define the sets

$\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) = \{\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : w_n \in \widetilde{L}_0(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) \text{ and } w_n <_{R_1} w_{n+1} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$,
$L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) = \{\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : w_n \in L(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon) \text{ and } w_n <_{R_2} w_{n+1} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

We will define now the notion of **substitution** for the variable ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words and respectively for the variable ω-located words.

Let $w = w_{n_1} \dots w_{n_l} \in \widetilde{L}_0(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ with $n_w = \min dom^+(w)$ and $-m_w = \max dom^-(w)$ for $n_w, m_w \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $(p, q) \in \{1, \dots, k_{n_w}\} \times \{1, \dots, k_{-m_w}\} \cup \{(\upsilon, \upsilon)\}$ we set:

$$w(\upsilon, \upsilon) = w \text{ and } w(p, q) = u_{n_1} \dots u_{n_l},$$

for every $(p, q) \in \{1, \dots, k_{n_w}\} \times \{1, \dots, k_{-m_w}\}$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, $u_{n_i} = w_{n_i}$ if $w_{n_i} \in \Sigma$, $u_{n_i} = \alpha_p$ if $w_{n_i} = \upsilon$, $n_i > 0$ and $u_{n_i} = \alpha_{-q}$ if $w_{n_i} = \upsilon$, $n_i < 0$.

Respectively, Let $w = w_{n_1} \dots w_{n_l} \in L(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ with $n_w = \min dom(w) \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $p \in \{1, \dots, k_{n_w}\} \cup \{\upsilon\}$ we set:

$$w(\upsilon) = w \text{ and } w(p) = u_{n_1} \dots u_{n_l},$$

for every $p \in \{1, \dots, k_{n_w}\}$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, $u_{n_i} = w_{n_i}$ if $w_{n_i} \in \Sigma$, $u_{n_i} = \alpha_p$ if $w_{n_i} = \upsilon$. We remark that for $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. for $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$) we have $n \leq \min dom^+(w_n)$ and $-n \geq \max dom^-(w_n)$ (resp. $n \leq \min dom(w_n)$), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the substituted word $w_n(p, q)$ (resp. $w_n(p)$) has meaning for every $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq k_n$ and $q \leq k_{-n}$ (resp. for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq k_n$).

Fix a sequence $\vec{w}=(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\widetilde{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w}=(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in L^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$).

An **extracted ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located word** (resp. **extracted ω-located word**) of $\vec{w}$ is an ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located word $z\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})$ (resp. $z\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})$) with

$$z=w_{n_1}(p_1,q_1)\star\ldots\star w_{n_\lambda}(p_\lambda,q_\lambda)\ \text{(resp. } z=w_{n_1}(p_1)\star\ldots\star w_{n_\lambda}(p_\lambda)\text{)},$$

where $\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$, $n_1<\ldots<n_\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(p_i,q_i)\in\{1,\ldots,k_{n_i}\}\times\{1,\ldots,k_{-n_i}\}$ (resp. $p_i\in\{1,\ldots,k_{n_i}\}$) for every $1\leq i\leq\lambda$. The set of all the extracted ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words of $\vec{w}$ is denoted by $\widetilde{E}(\vec{w})$ (resp. all the extracted ω-located words of $\vec{w}$ is denoted by $E(\vec{w})$).

An **extracted variable ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located word** (resp. **extracted variable ω-located word**) of $\vec{w}$ is a variable ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located word $u\in\widetilde{L}_0(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ (resp. $u\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$) with

$$u=w_{n_1}(p_1,q_1)\star\ldots\star w_{n_\lambda}(p_\lambda,q_\lambda)\ \text{(resp. } u=w_{n_1}(p_1)\star\ldots\star w_{n_\lambda}(p_\lambda)\text{)},$$

where $\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$, $n_1<\ldots<n_\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$, $(p_i,q_i)\in\{1,\ldots,k_{n_i}\}\times\{1,\ldots,k_{-n_i}\}\cup\{(\upsilon,\upsilon)\}$ for every $1\leq i\leq\lambda$ and $(\upsilon,\upsilon)\in\{(p_1,q_1),\ldots,(p_\lambda,q_\lambda)\}$ (resp. $p_i\in\{1,\ldots,k_{n_i}\}\cup\{\upsilon\}$ for every $1\leq i\leq\lambda$ and $\upsilon\in\{p_1,\ldots,p_\lambda\}$). The set of all the extracted variable ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words of $\vec{w}$ is denoted by $\widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ (resp. the set of all the extracted variable ω-located words of $\vec{w}$ is denoted by $EV(\vec{w})$). Let

$$\widetilde{EV}^{\infty}(\vec{w})=\{\vec{u}=(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\widetilde{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon):u_n\in\widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})\text{ for every }n\in\mathbb{N}\},$$

$$EV^{\infty}(\vec{w})=\{\vec{u}=(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in L^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon):u_n\in EV(\vec{w})\text{ for every }n\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

If $\vec{u}\in\widetilde{EV}^{\infty}(\vec{w})$ (resp. $\vec{u}\in EV^{\infty}(\vec{w})$), then we say that $\vec{u}$ is an **extraction** of $\vec{w}$ and we write $\vec{u}\prec\vec{w}$. Notice that for $\vec{u},\vec{w}\in\widetilde{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{u},\vec{w}\in L^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$) we have $\vec{u}\prec\vec{w}$ if and only if $\widetilde{EV}(\vec{u})\subseteq\widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ (resp. $EV(\vec{u})\subseteq EV(\vec{w})$).

Using the theory of ultrafilters we proved in [F], [FK] the following partition theorem for ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words and for ω-located words.

Theorem 1.2. *(*[F], [FK]*) Let $\Sigma=\{\alpha_n\ :\ n\in\mathbb{Z}^*\}$ be an alphabet, $\vec{k}=(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ such that $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences, $\upsilon\notin\Sigma$ and let $\vec{w}=(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\widetilde{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w}=(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in L^{\infty}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$). If $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})=C_1\cup\ldots\cup C_s$ (resp. $L(\Sigma,\vec{k})=C_1\cup\ldots\cup C_s$), $s\in\mathbb{N}$, then there exists $\vec{u}\prec\vec{w}$ and $1\leq j_0\leq s$ such that*

$$\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})\subseteq C_{j_0}\ \textit{(resp. } E(\vec{u})\subseteq C_{j_0}\textit{)}.$$

2. IMPLICATIONS OF THE PARTITION THEOREM TO TOPOLOGICAL DYNAMICS

We will prove a topological formulation (in Theorem 2.1) of the partition Theorem 1.2, important for proving later (multiple) recurrence results for systems of continuous maps from a compact metric space into itself indexed by ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words (Theorem 2.6), which extend fundamental recurrence results of Birkhoff ([Bi]) and Furstenberg-Weiss ([Fu], [FuW]).

Let an alphabet $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n : \ n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$ and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, where $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences. Observe that $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$ can be considered as a directed set with partial order either R_1 or R_2. So, in a topological space X, we can consider $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})} \subseteq X$ either as an R_1-net or as an R_2-net in X. Consequently, $\{x_w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ is an R_2-subnet of $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$. Moreover, $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})}$ for $\vec{u} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ is an R_1-subnet of $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ and respectively $\{x_w\}_{w\in E(\vec{u})}$ for $\vec{u} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ is an R_2-subnet of $\{x_w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$.
Let $x_0 \in X$. We write

$$R_1\text{-} \lim_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})} x_w = x_0$$

if $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ converges to x_0 as R_1-net in X, i.e. if for any neighborhood V of x_0, there exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_w \in V$ for every w with $\min\{-\max dom^-(w), \min dom^+(w)\} \geq n_0$. Analogously, we write

$$R_2\text{-} \lim_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})} x_w = x_0$$

if for any neighborhood V of x_0, there exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_w \in V$ for every w with $\min dom(w) \geq n_0$.

We will give now a topological reformulation of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n \ : \ n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$ be an alphabet, $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences, $\upsilon \notin \Sigma$ and $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$). For every net $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})} \subseteq X$ (resp. $\{x_w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})} \subseteq X$), there exist an extraction $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$ of $\vec{w}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that*

$$R_1\text{-} \lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} x_w = x_0 \ \ (\textit{resp. } R_2\text{-} \lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} x_w = x_0).$$

Proof. For $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we set $\widehat{B}(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}$. Since (X, d) is a compact metric space, we have that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_1} \widehat{B}(x^1_i, \frac{1}{2})$ for some $x^1_1, \ldots, x^1_{m_1} \in X$. According to Theorem 1.2, there exists $\vec{u}_1 \prec \vec{w}$ and $1 \leq i_1 \leq m_1$ such that $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_1)} \subseteq \widehat{B}(x^1_{i_1}, \frac{1}{2})$ (resp. $\{x_w\}_{w\in E(\vec{u}_1)} \subseteq \widehat{B}(x^1_{i_1}, \frac{1}{2})$). Analogously, since $\widehat{B}(x^1_{i_1}, \frac{1}{2})$ is compact, there exist $x^2_1, \ldots, x^2_{m_2} \in X$, such that $\widehat{B}(x^1_{i_1}, \frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_2} \widehat{B}(x^2_i, \frac{1}{4})$, and consequently there exist $\vec{u}_2 \prec \vec{u}_1$ and $1 \leq i_2 \leq m_2$ such that $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_2)} \subseteq \widehat{B}(x^1_{i_1}, \frac{1}{2}) \cap \widehat{B}(x^2_{i_2}, \frac{1}{4})$. Inductively, we construct $(\vec{u}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $(\vec{u}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$) such that $\vec{u}_{n+1} \prec \vec{u}_n \prec \vec{w}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and closed balls $\widehat{B}(x^n_{i_n}, \frac{1}{2^n})$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_n)} \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n \widehat{B}(x_{i_j}^j, \tfrac{1}{2^j}) \text{ (resp. } \{x_w\}_{w\in E(\vec{u}_n)} \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n \widehat{B}(x_{i_j}^j, \tfrac{1}{2^j})).$$

If $\vec{u}_n = (w_k^{(n)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we set $\vec{u} = (w_n^{(n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Of course $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$. Let $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \widehat{B}(x_{i_n}^n, \frac{1}{2^n})$. Then $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} x_w = x_0$ (resp. $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} x_w = x_0$). Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$ pick $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/2^{k_0} < \varepsilon$. Then, for every $w \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_{k_0})$ we have that $d(x_w, x_0) \leq 1/2^{k_0} < \varepsilon$. Since $\widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_n) \subseteq \widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_{k_0})$ for every $n \geq k_0$, we have that $\widetilde{E}((w_n^{(n)})_{n\geq k_0}) \subseteq \widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_{k_0})$ and consequently that $\{w \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{u}) : \min\{-\max dom^-(w), \min dom^+(w)\} \geq n_0\} \subseteq \widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_{k_0})$ for $n_0 = \max\{-\min dom^-(w_{k_0}^{(k_0)}), \max dom^+(w_{k_0}^{(k_0)})\}$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. (1) Note that Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 1.2. But conversely Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 2.1. In fact, one only needs the assertion for finite spaces. Indeed, let $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k}) = C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_s$ (resp. $L(\Sigma, \vec{k}) = C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_s$), $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then defining, for every $w \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$ (resp. for $w \in L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$), $x_w = i$ if and only if $w \in C_i$ and $w \notin C_j$ for all $j < i$, we have, according to Theorem 2.1, that there exist $\vec{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \prec \vec{w}$ and $1 \leq j_0 \leq s$ such that $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} x_w = j_0$ (resp. $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} x_w = j_0$). For n_0 large enough and $\vec{u}_0 = (u_{n+n_0})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we have that $\widetilde{E}(\vec{u}_0) \subseteq C_{j_0}$ (resp. $E(\vec{u}_0) \subseteq C_{j_0}$).

(2) Observe that if $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} x_w = x_0$ for $\vec{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$, then the sequences $(x_{u_n(p_n,q_n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge uniformly to x_0 for all the sequences $((p_n, q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq p_n \leq k_n$, $1 \leq q_n \leq k_{-n}$. Analogously, if $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} x_w = x_0$ for $\vec{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$, then the sequences $(x_{u_n(p_n)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge uniformly to x_0 for all the sequences $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq p_n \leq k_n$.

(3) The particular case of Theorem 1.2 for words in $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$, where Σ is a finite alphabet, gives Carlson's partition theorem in [C], whose topological reformulation has been given by Furstenberg and Katznelson in [FuKa].

(4) The particular case of Theorem 1.2 for words in $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$ where Σ is a singleton and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $k_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (so, the words can be coincide with its domain) is Hindman's partition theorem in [H]. Furstenberg and Weiss in [FuW] gave the topological reformulation of Hindman's theorem introducing the IP-convergence of a net $\{x_F\}_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]_{>0}^{<\omega}}$ in a topological space X to $x_0 \in X$, i.e. if for any neighborhood V of x_0, there exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_F \in V$ for every $F \in [\mathbb{N}]_{>0}^{<\omega}$ with $\min F \geq n_0$. In this case we write $\text{IP-}\lim_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]_{>0}^{<\omega}} x_F = x_0$. Also, using the IP-convergence, they proved important results in topological dynamics (see [Fu]).

In the following proposition we will characterize the R_1-convergence of nets $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ and the R_2-convergence of nets $\{x_w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ as uniform IP-convergence, pointing out the way for strengthening results involving the IP-convergence.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a topological space, $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$) and $\{x_w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})} \subseteq X$ (resp. $\{x_w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})} \subseteq X$). For a sequence $((p_n, q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le p_n \le k_n$, $1 \le q_n \le k_{-n}$ and $F = \{n_1 < \ldots < n_\lambda\} \in [\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}$ a finite non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ we set $y_F^{((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = x_{w_{n_1}(p_{n_1},q_{n_1})\star\ldots\star w_{n_\lambda}(p_{n_\lambda},q_{n_\lambda})}$ (resp. $y_F^{(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = x_{w_{n_1}(p_{n_1})\star\ldots\star w_{n_\lambda}(p_{n_\lambda})}$). Then*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{w})} x_w = x_0 \text{ if and only if } IP\text{-}\lim_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}} y_F^{((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = x_0 \text{ uniformly}$$
$$\text{for all sequences } ((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} \text{ with } 1 \le p_n \le k_n,\ 1 \le q_n \le k_{-n}$$
$$(\text{resp. } R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{w})} x_w = x_0 \text{ if and only if } IP\text{-}\lim_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}} y_F^{(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = x_0 \text{ uniformly}$$
$$\text{for all sequences } (p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ with } 1 \le p_n \le k_n).$$

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let V be a neighborhood of x_0. There exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_w \in V$ for every $w \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{w})$ (resp. $w \in E(\vec{w})$) with $\min\{-\max dom^-(w), \min dom^+(w)\} \ge n_0$ (resp. with $\min dom(w) \ge n_0$). So, for $F \in [\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}$ with $n_0 < \min F$ we have that $y_F^{((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} \in V$ (resp. $y_F^{(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} \in V$) for all sequences $((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le p_n \le k_n$, $1 \le q_n \le k_{-n}$ (resp. $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le p_n \le k_n$).

($\Leftarrow$) Toward to a contradiction we suppose that there exists a neighborhood V of x_0 such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $u_n = w_{m_1^n}(p_{m_1^n}, q_{m_1^n}) \star \ldots \star w_{m_\lambda^n}(p_{m_\lambda^n}, q_{m_\lambda^n}) \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{w})$ (resp. $u_n = w_{m_1^n}(p_{m_1^n}) \star \ldots \star w_{m_\lambda^n}(p_{m_\lambda^n}) \in E(\vec{w})$) with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u_n), \min dom^+(u_n)\} \ge n$ (resp. $\min dom(u_n) \ge n$) and $x_{u_n} \notin V$. We can suppose that $u_n <_{R_1} u_{n+1}$ (resp. $u_n <_{R_2} u_{n+1}$) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to the hypothesis there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_F^{((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} \in V$ (resp. $y_F^{(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} \in V$) for all sequences $((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le p_n \le k_n$, $1 \le q_n \le k_{-n}$ (resp. $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le p_n \le k_n$) and all $F \in [\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}$ with $\min F \ge n_0$. Then $x_{u_{n_0}} \in V$, a contradiction. ☐

We will now give some applications of Theorem 2.1 to topological dynamical systems extending fundamental recurrence results of Birkhoff ([Bi]) and Furstenberg-Weiss ([FuW], [Fu]). Firstly, we will introduce the notions of $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-systems and $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-systems of continuous maps of a topological space into itself.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a topological space, $\Sigma = \{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^*\}$ be an alphabet and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences. A family $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) of continuous functions of X into itself is an **$\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system** (resp. an **$L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system**) of X if $T^{w_1}T^{w_2} = T^{w_1\star w_2}$ for $w_1 <_{R_1} w_2$ (resp. for $w_1 <_{R_2} w_2$).

Example 2.5. Let X be a topological space.

(1) Let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous map. For an alphabet $\Sigma = (m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ an increasing sequence and $(l_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we define for every $w = w_{n_1}\ldots w_{n_\lambda} \in L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$

$$T^w = T^{l_{n_1}w_{n_1}+\ldots+l_{n_\lambda}w_{n_\lambda}}.$$

Then $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ is an $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of X.
Moreover, for a sequence $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of continuous maps from X into itself defining

$$T^w = T_{n_1}^{l_{n_1}w_{n_1}}\ldots T_{n_\lambda}^{l_{n_\lambda}w_{n_\lambda}}.$$

we have another $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of X.

(2) For a given sequence $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*}$ of continuous maps from X into itself, $\Sigma = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences and $(l_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we define for $w = w_{n_1}\ldots w_{n_\lambda} \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$

$$T^{w_{n_1}\ldots w_{n_\lambda}} = T_{n_1}^{l_{n_1}w_{n_1}}\ldots T_{n_\lambda}^{l_{n_\lambda}w_{n_\lambda}}.$$

Then $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ is an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of X.
In particular, if $T, S : X \to X$ are two continuous maps, then we can replace T_n with T^n and T_{-n} with S^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Via Theorem 2.1, we will prove the existence of strongly recurrent points in a compact metric space X for an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system as well as for an $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of it. Moreover, we will point out the way to locate such points.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) be an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system (resp. $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system) of a compact metric space (X, d), $\vec{w} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$) and $x \in X$. Then there exist an extraction $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$ of $\vec{w}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x) = x_0 \ \ (\textit{resp. } R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} T^w(x) = x_0).$$

*Moreover, x_0 is **$\vec{w}$-recurrent point**, in the sense that*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0 \ \ (\textit{resp. } R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 there exist an extraction $\vec{u}$ of $\vec{w}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x) = x_0$ (resp. $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} T^w(x) = x_0$).

Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(T^w(x), x_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for every $w \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(w), \min dom^+(w)\} \geq n_0$ (resp. $w \in E(\vec{u})$ with $\min dom(w) \geq n_0$). Let $w \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(w), \min dom^+(w)\} \geq n_0$ (resp. $w \in E(\vec{u})$ with $\min dom(w) \geq n_0$). Then $d(T^w(x), x_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since T^w is continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(z, x_0) < \delta$, then $d(T^w(z), T^w(x_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Choose $w_1 \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ (resp.

$w_1 \in E(\vec{u})$) such that $d(T^{w_1}(x), x_0) < \delta$ and $w <_{R_1} w_1$ (resp. $w <_{R_2} w_1$). Then $d(T^w(T^{w_1}(x)), T^w(x_0)) = d(T^{w\star w_1}(x), T^w(x_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $d(T^{w\star w_1}(x), x_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ we have that $d(T^w(x_0), x_0) < \epsilon$. $\square$

In the following corollaries we will describe some consequences of Theorem 2.6 for the simplest $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system generated by a single transformation.

For a semigroup $(X, +)$ and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ let

$$FS((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \{x_{n_1} + \ldots + x_{n_\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 < \ldots < n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, $T : X \to X$ a continuous map and $(m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $m_n < m_{n+1}, r_n < r_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exist $x_0 \in X$ and sequences $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS((m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS((r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ such that*

$$IP\text{-}\lim_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}} T^{\sum_{n\in F}\alpha_n+p_n\beta_n+q_n\gamma_n}(x_0) = x_0,\ (\textit{in particular, } \lim_n T^{\alpha_n+p_n\beta_n+q_n\gamma_n}(x_0) = x_0)$$

uniformly for all sequences $((p_n, q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $0 \le p_n \le n$, $0 \le q_n \le n$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma = (\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha_{-n} = \alpha_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\vec{k} = (k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $k_{-n} = k_n = n+1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $w = w_{n_1}\ldots w_{n_\lambda} \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$ we set $T^{w_{n_1}\cdots w_{n_\lambda}} = T^{-n_1 w_{n_1}}\ldots T^{-n_i w_{n_i}} T^{n_{i+1}w_{n_{i+1}}}\ldots T^{n_\lambda w_{n_\lambda}}$, where $n_i = \max dom^-(w)$, $n_{i+1} = \min dom^+(w)$. Then $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ is an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of X (see Example 2.5(2)). Let $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ with $w_n = w_{-r_n}w_{m_n}$ where $w_{-r_n} = w_{m_n} = \upsilon$. We apply Theorem 2.6. So, there exist an extraction $\vec{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ of $\vec{w}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0$.
According to Proposition 2.3, if $y_F^{((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = T^{u_{n_1}(p_{n_1},q_{n_1})\star\ldots\star u_{n_\lambda}(p_{n_\lambda},q_{n_\lambda})}(x_0)$, then

$$IP\text{-}\lim_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}} y_F^{((p_n,q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} = x_0$$

uniformly for all sequences $((p_n, q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le p_n \le n+1$, $1 \le q_n \le n+1$.

Let $T^{u_n((p_n,q_n))} = T^{\alpha_n+(p_n-1)\beta_n+(q_n-1)\gamma_n}$, where $\beta_n \in FS((m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ and $\gamma_n \in FS((r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$. Then $IP\text{-}\lim_{F\in[\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}_{>0}} T^{\sum_{n\in F}\alpha_n+p_n\beta_n+q_n\gamma_n}(x_0) = x_0$, (in particular, $\lim T^{\alpha_n+p_n\beta_n+q_n\gamma_n}(x_0) = x_0$) uniformly for all sequences $((p_n, q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $0 \le p_n \le n$, $0 \le q_n \le n$. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, $T : X \to X$ a continuous map and $(m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $m_n < m_{n+1}, r_n < r_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exist $x_0 \in X$ and sequences $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS((m_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS((r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies*

$$d(T^{p_n\beta_n+q_n\gamma_n}(T^{\alpha_n}(x_0)), T^{\alpha_n}(x_0)) < \epsilon$$

for every $n \geq n_0$ *and* $((p_n, q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ *with* $0 \leq p_n \leq n$, $0 \leq q_n \leq n$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.7. $\square$

We will define now the recurrent subsets of a compact metric space X for an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system as well as for an $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of it.

Definition 2.9. Let $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) be an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system (resp. $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system) of continuous maps of a compact metric space (X, d) and $\vec{w} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$). A closed subset A of X is said to be **$\vec{w}$-recurrent set** if for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and any point $x \in A$ there exist $y \in A$ and $u \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u), \min dom^+(u)\} > m$ (resp. $u \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $\min dom(u)\} > m$) such that $d(T^{u(p,q)}(y), x) < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$.

In the following example we point out the way to locate recurrent subsets of a compact metric space X for a given $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system as well as for a given $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system of it.

Example 2.10. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let $F(X)$ be the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X endowed with the Hausdorff metric $\hat{d}$ (where $\hat{d}(A, B) = \max[\sup_{x\in A} d(x, B),\ \sup_{x\in B} d(x, A)]$). Then $(F(X), \hat{d})$ is also a compact metric space. Let $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) be an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system (resp. $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system) of continuous maps of (X, d). We define $\hat{T}^w : F(X) \to F(X)$ with $\hat{T}^w(A) = T^w(A)$. Then $\{\hat{T}^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{\hat{T}^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) is an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system (resp. $L(\Sigma, \vec{k})$-system) of $(F(X), \hat{d})$. According to Theorem 2.6, for every $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$) there exist $A \in F(X)$ and an extraction $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$ of $\vec{w}$ such that

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} \hat{T}^w(A) = A \ \text{ (resp. } R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} \hat{T}^w(A) = A).$$

Then A is $\vec{w}$-recurrent in (X, d). Observe that it is enough $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} \hat{T}^w(A) \supseteq A$ (resp. $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} \hat{T}^w(A) \supseteq A$) in order A to be $\vec{w}$-recurrent.

Proposition 2.11. *Let* A *be a* $\vec{w}$*-recurrent subset of a compact metric space* (X, d). *Then for every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exist* $u \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ *with* $\min\{-\max dom^-(u), \min dom^+(u)\} > m$ *(resp.* $u \in EV(\vec{w})$ *with* $\min dom(u) > m$*) and* $z \in A$ *such that*

$$d(T^{u(p,q)}(z), z) < \varepsilon \text{ for every } 1 \leq p, q \leq m.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For a $z_0 \in A$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/2$ there exist $z_1 \in A$ and $u_1 \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u_1), \min dom^+(u_1)\} > m$ (resp. $u_1 \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $\min dom(u) > m$) such that $d(T^{u_1(p,q)} z_1, z_0) < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$.

Let have been chosen $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_r \in A$, $u_1 <_{R_1} \ldots <_{R_1} u_r \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ (resp. $u_1 <_{R_2} \ldots <_{R_2} u_r \in EV(\vec{w})$) such that $d(T^{u_i(p_i,q_i)\star\ldots\star u_j(p_j,q_j)}(z_j), z_{i-1}) < \varepsilon/2$ for every $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$ and $1 \leq p_l, q_l \leq m$, for all $i \leq l \leq j$.

Since T^w are continuous functions, there is $\varepsilon_r < \varepsilon/2$ such that if $d(z, z_r) < \varepsilon_r$ then $d(T^{u_i(p_i,q_i)\star\ldots\star u_r(p_r,q_r)}(z), z_{i-1}) < \varepsilon/2$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq p_l, q_l \leq m$, for all $i \leq l \leq r$. Since A is $\vec{w}$-recurrent, there exist $z_{r+1} \in A$ and $u_{r+1} \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $u_r <_{R_1} u_{r+1}$ (resp. $u_{r+1} \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $u_r <_{R_2} u_{r+1}$) such that $d(T^{u_{r+1}(p,q)}(z_{r+1}), z_r) < \varepsilon_r$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. Hence, $d(T^{u_i(p_i,q_i)\star\ldots\star u_{r+1}(p_{r+1},q_{r+1})}(z_{r+1}), z_{i-1}) < \varepsilon/2$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ and $1 \leq p_l, q_l \leq m$, for all $i \leq l \leq r+1$.

Since (X, d) is compact, there exist $i < j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(z_i, z_j) < \varepsilon/2$. Hence, for $u = u_{i+1}\star\ldots\star u_j \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ (resp. $u = u_{i+1}\star\ldots\star u_j \in EV(\vec{w})$) we have $d(T^{u(p,q)}z_j, z_j) < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. $\square$

Definition 2.12. A closed subset A of a compact metric space X is **homogeneous** with respect to a set of transformations $\{T_i\}$ acting on X if there exists a group of homeomorphisms G of X each of which commutes with each T_i and such that G leaves A invariant and (A, G) is minimal (no proper closed subset of A is invariant under the action of G).

In the following proposition we give a sufficient condition in order a homogeneous subset to be strongly recurrent.

Proposition 2.13. *Let A is a homogeneous set in a compact metric space X with respect to the system $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) and $\vec{w} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} \in L^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{k}; \upsilon)$). If for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x, y \in A$ and $u \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u), \min dom^+(u)\} > m$ (resp. $u \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $\min dom(u)\} > m$) such that $d(T^{u(p,q)}(y), x) < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$, then A is $\vec{w}$-recurrent.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and G be a group of homeomorphisms commuting with $\{T^w\}$, and such that G leaves A invariant and (A, G) is minimal. Let $\{U_1, \ldots, U_r\}$ be a finite covering of A by open sets of diameter $< \varepsilon/2$. Then, from the minimality of A, we can find for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ a finite set $\{g_1^i, \ldots, g_1^{l_i}\} \subseteq G$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} (g_j^i)^{-1}(U_i) = A$. Let $G_0 = \{g_j^i : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq l_i\} \subseteq G$. Then for any $x, y \in A$ we have $\min_{g\in G_0} d(g(x), y) < \varepsilon/2$.

Let $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$, then $d(g(x_1), g(x_2)) < \varepsilon$ for every $g \in G_0$. According to the hypothesis, there exist $x, y \in A$ and $u \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u), \min dom^+(u)\} > m$ (resp. $u \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $\min dom(u) > m$) such that $d(T^{u(p,q)}(y), x) < \delta$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. Then

$d(T^{u(p,q)}(g(y)), g(x)) = d(g(T^{u(p,q)}(y)), g(x)) < \varepsilon/2$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$.
For a point $z \in A$, find $g \in G_0$ with $d(g(x), z) < \varepsilon/2$. Then $d(T^{u(p,q)}(g(y)), z) \leq d(T^{u(p,q)}(g(y)), g(x)) + d(g(x), z) < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. It follows that A is $\vec{w}$-recurrent. $\square$

We will prove now that a recurrent homogeneous subset A of a compact metric space X contains recurrent points, moreover these points consist a dense subset of A.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\{T^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) be an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})$-system (resp. $L(\Sigma,\vec{k})$-system) of continuous transformations of a compact metric space (X,d) and $\vec{w} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{w} \in L^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$). A $\vec{w}$-recurrent homogeneous subset A of X contains $\vec{w}$-recurrent points (x_0 is $\vec{w}$-recurrent iff $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0$ (resp. iff $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0$) for some $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$).*

Moreover, the $\vec{w}$-recurrent points of A consist a dense subset of A.

Proof. Let V be an open subset of X such that $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and let $V' \subseteq V$ be an open set such that $V' \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and if $d(x, V') < \delta$ for $\delta > 0$ then $x \in V$. Since A is homogeneous, there exists a group G of homeomorphisms commuting with $\{T^w\}$ and such that G leaves A invariant and (A, G) is minimal. From the minimality of A, there exists a finite subset $G_0 \subseteq G$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{g\in G_0} g^{-1}(V')$.

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $d(x_1, x_2) < \varepsilon$, then $d(g(x_1), g(x_2)) < \delta$ for every $g \in G_0$. Since A is $\vec{w}$-recurrent, according to Proposition 2.11, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $z \in A$ and $u \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u), \min dom^+(u)\} > m$ (resp. $u \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $\min dom(u) > m$) such that $d(T^{u(p,q)}(z), z) < \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq p, q \leq m$.

There exists $g \in G_0$ with $g(z) \in V'$ and since $d(T^{u(p,q)}(g(z)), g(z)) < \delta$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$, we have that $T^{u(p,q)}(g(z)) \in V$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. Hence, each open set V with $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ contains a point $z' = g(z) \in A$ with $T^{u(p,q)}z' \in V$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$. Since T^w are continuous, we conclude that for every open set V with $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open set V_1 such that $\overline{V_1} \subseteq V$ and $T^{u(p,q)}V_1 \subseteq V$ for every $1 \leq p, q \leq m$, for some $u \in \widetilde{EV}(\vec{w})$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(u), \min dom^+(u)\} > m$ (resp. $u \in EV(\vec{w})$ with $\min dom(u) > m$).

Let V_0 be an open subset of X such that $V_0 \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Inductively we can define a sequence $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open sets and a sequence $\vec{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ (resp. $\vec{u} = (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in L^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$) with $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$ such that $\overline{V_n} \subseteq V_{n-1}$, $V_n \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $T^{u_n(p_n,q_n)}V_n \subseteq V_{n-1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p_n \leq k_n$, $1 \leq q_n \leq k_{-n}$. We can also suppose that the diameter of V_n tends to 0. Then $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n \cap A = \{x_0\}$.

For $1 < i_1 < \ldots < i_k$, we have that $T^{u_{i_1}(p_{i_1},q_{i_1})\star\ldots\star u_{i_k}(p_{i_k},q_{i_k})}V_{i_k} \subseteq V_{i_1-1}$. Then $T^w(x_0) \in V_i$ for every $w \in \widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ with $u_{i+1} <_{R_1} w$ (resp. $w \in E(\vec{u})$ with $u_{i+1} <_{R_2} w$) so R_1-$\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0$ (resp. R_2-$\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T^w(x_0) = x_0$). Hence, $x_0 \in A \cap V_0$ is a $\vec{w}$-recurrent point. This gives that the set of $\vec{w}$-recurrent points in A is dense in A. $\square$

Now, we shall prove a multiple recurrence theorem extending Theorem 2.6, in case the transformations are homeomorphisms. We can say that the following theorem is the "word"-analogue of Birkhoff's multiple recurrence theorem.

Theorem 2.15. *Let* $\{T_1^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}, \ldots, \{T_m^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ *(resp.* $\{T_1^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}, \ldots, \{T_m^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$*) be* m *systems of transformations of a compact metric space* X*, all contained in a commutative group* G *of homeomorphisms of* X *and let* $\vec{w} \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ *(resp.* $\vec{w} \in L^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$*). Then, there exist* $x_0 \in X$ *and an extraction* $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$ *such that*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} T_i^w(x_0) = x_0 \ \textit{(resp. } R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} T_i^w(x_0) = x_0\textit{) for every } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Moreover, in case (X,G) *is minimal, the set of such points* x_0 *is a dense subset of* X*.*

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that (X,G) is minimal, otherwise we replace X by a G-minimal subset of X. For $m = 1$ we obtain the assertion from Theorem 2.6. We proceed by induction. Suppose that the theorem holds for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\{T_1^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}, \ldots, \{T_{m+1}^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ (resp. $\{T_1^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}, \ldots, \{T_{m+1}^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$) are $m+1$ such systems. We set $S_i^w = T_i^w(T_{m+1}^w)^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $S_i^{w_1\star w_2} = S_i^{w_1}S_i^{w_2}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $w_1 <_{R_1} w_2$ (resp. $w_1 <_{R_2} w_2$), since all the maps commute. By the induction hypothesis there exist $y \in X$ and $\vec{u} \prec \vec{w}$ such that R_1-$\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})} S_i^w(y) = y$ (resp. R_2-$\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})} S_i^w(y) = y$) for every $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Consider the product X^{m+1} and let Δ^{m+1} be the diagonal subset consisting of the $(m+1)$-tuples $(x,\ldots,x) \in X^{m+1}$. Identifying each $g \in G$ with $g \times \ldots \times g$ we can assume that G acts on X^{m+1}. Also, the functions $T_1^w \times \ldots \times T_{m+1}^w$ acts on X^{m+1} and commute with the functions of G. Since G leaves Δ^{m+1} invariant and (Δ^{m+1}, G) is minimal, Δ^{m+1} is a homogeneous set. According to Proposition 2.14, it suffices to prove that Δ^{m+1} is $\vec{w}$-recurrent. But, according to Proposition 2.13, the set Δ^{m+1} is $\vec{w}$-recurrent, since R_1-$\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})}(T_1^w \times \ldots \times T_{m+1}^w)[((T_{m+1}^w)^{-1} \times \ldots \times (T_{m+1}^w)^{-1})((y,\ldots,y))] = (y,\ldots,y)$ (resp. R_2-$\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})}(T_1^w \times \ldots \times T_{m+1}^w)[((T_{m+1}^w)^{-1} \times \ldots \times (T_{m+1}^w)^{-1})((y,\ldots,y))] = (y,\ldots,y)$). $\square$

Theorem 2.15 has the following consequence.

Proposition 2.16. *Let* $\{T_1^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})},\dots,\{T_m^w\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ *(resp.* $\{T_1^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})},\dots,\{T_m^w\}_{w\in L(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$*) be* m *systems of transformations of a compact metric space* X*, all contained in a commutative group* G *of homeomorphisms of* X*, which acts minimally on* X*. For* $\vec{w}\in\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ *(resp.* $\vec{w}\in L^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$*) and* U *a non-empty open subset of* X*, there exists* $\vec{u}\prec\vec{w}$ *so that*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}(T_i^w)^{-1}(U)\neq\emptyset \text{ for every } w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u}) \quad (\text{resp. } w\in E(\vec{u})).$$

Proof. Since G acts minimally on X, $X=\bigcup_{g\in G_0}g^{-1}(U)$, where G_0 is a finite subset of G. Let $\delta>0$ be such that every set of diameter $<\delta$ is contained in some $g^{-1}(U)$ for $g\in G_0$. According to Theorem 2.15, there exist $x_0\in X$ and $\vec{u}\prec\vec{w}$ such that $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})}T_i^w(x_0)=x_0$ (resp. $R_2\text{-}\lim_{w\in E(\vec{u})}T_i^w(x_0)=x_0$) for every $1\leq i\leq m$. Refine $\vec{u}$ such that $d(T_i^w(x_0),x_0)<\delta/2$ for every $w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ (resp. $w\in E(\vec{u})$) and $1\leq i\leq m$. Then there exists $g\in G_0$ such that $T_i^w(x_0)\in g^{-1}(U)$ for every $w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ (resp. $w\in E(\vec{u})$) and $1\leq i\leq m$. Consequently, $g(x_0)\in\bigcap_{i=1}^m(T_i^w)^{-1}(U)$ for every $w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{u})$ (resp. $w\in E(\vec{u})$). ☐

3. APPLICATIONS

We will indicate the way in which the recurrence results for topological systems or nets indexed by words, that we proved in the previous section, can be applied to systems or nets indexed by semigroups that can be represented as words (Example 1.1) and consequently to systems or nets indexed by an arbitrary semigroup.

Semigroup $(\mathbb{Q},+)$**.** As we described in Example 1.1(1), the set $\mathbb{Q}^*$ of the nonzero rational numbers can be identified with a set $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})$ of ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words, via the function

$g:\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})\to\mathbb{Q}^*$, with $g(q_{t_1}\dots q_{t_l})=\sum_{t\in dom^-(w)}q_t\frac{(-1)^{-t}}{(-t+1)!}+\sum_{t\in dom^+(w)}q_t(-1)^{t+1}t!$.

We extend the function g to the set $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ of variable words corresponding to each $w=q_{t_1}\dots q_{t_l}\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ a function $q=g(w)$ which sends every $(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1\leq i\leq-\max dom^-(w)$, $1\leq j\leq\min dom^+(w)$, to

$$q(i,j)=g(T_{(j,i)}(w))=\sum_{t\in C^-}q_t\frac{(-1)^{-t}}{(-t+1)!}+i\sum_{t\in V^-}\frac{(-1)^{-t}}{(-t+1)!}+\sum_{t\in C^+}q_t(-1)^{t+1}t!+j\sum_{t\in V^+}(-1)^{t+1}t!,$$

where $C^-=\{t\in dom^-(w):\ q_t\in\Sigma\}$, $V^-=\{t\in dom^-(w):\ q_t=\upsilon\}$ and $C^+=\{t\in dom^+(w):\ q_t\in\Sigma\}$, $V^+=\{t\in dom^+(w):\ q_t=\upsilon\}$. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\upsilon)=g(\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon))$. Then the extended function

$$g:\widetilde{L}(\Sigma\cup\{\upsilon\},\vec{k})\to\mathbb{Q}^*\cup\mathbb{Q}(\upsilon)$$

is one-to-one and onto. For $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^* \cup \mathbb{Q}(\upsilon)$ we define the relation

$$q_1 <_{R_1} q_2 \iff g^{-1}(q_1) <_{R_1} g^{-1}(q_2).$$

So, $\{x_q\}_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*} \subseteq X$, where X is a topological space, can be considered as an R_1-net and consequently we can define, for $x_0 \in X$, $R_1\text{-}\lim_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*} x_q = x_0$ iff for any neighborhood V of x_0, there exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_q \in V$ for every $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ with $\min\{-\max dom^-(g^{-1}(q)), \min dom^+(g^{-1}(q))\} \geq n_0$.

Observe that $g(w_1 \star w_2) = g(w_1) + g(w_2)$ for every $w_1 <_{R_1} w_2 \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\}, \vec{k})$. So, if

$\vec{q} = (q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^\infty(\upsilon) = \{(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} : q_n \in \mathbb{Q}(\upsilon) \text{ and } q_n <_{R_1} q_{n+1}\}$,

then the set of the extractions of $\vec{q}$ is

$\widetilde{EV}^\infty(\vec{q}) = \{\vec{r} = (r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^\infty(\upsilon) : r_n = g(u_n) \text{ for } (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \widetilde{EV}^\infty((g^{-1}(q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})\}$ and the set of all the extracted rationals of $\vec{q}$ is

$$\widetilde{E}(\vec{q}) = \{q \in FS[(q_n(i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}] : ((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} \text{ with } 1 \leq i_n, j_n \leq n\} =$$
$$= \{g(w) : w \in \widetilde{E}((g^{-1}(q_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})\}.$$

Of course, $\{x_q\}_{q\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{q})}$ is an R_1-subnet of $\{x_q\}_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*}$.

Hence, via the function g, all the presented results relating to ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words give analogous results for the rational numbers. For example Theorems 2.1, 2.15 give the following:

Theorem 3.1. *For every net $\{x_q\}_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*}$ in a compact metric space (X, d) and $\vec{q} = (q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}^\infty(\upsilon)$ there exist an extraction $\vec{r} = (r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\vec{q}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{q\in FS[(r_n(i_n,j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} x_q = x_0 \text{ (in particular } x_{r_n(i_n,j_n)} \to x_0\text{)},$$

uniformly for every $((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_n, j_n \leq n$.

We call a family $\{T^q\}_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*}$ of continuous functions of a topological space X into itself a $\mathbb{Q}^*$-system of X if $T^{q_1}T^{q_2} = T^{q_1+q_2}$ for $q_1 <_{R_1} q_2$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{T_1^q\}_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*}, \ldots, \{T_m^q\}_{q\in\mathbb{Q}^*}$ be m $\mathbb{Q}^*$-systems of transformations of a compact metric space X, all contained in a commutative group G of homeomorphisms of X and let $\vec{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^\infty(\upsilon)$. Then, there exist $x_0 \in X$ and an extraction $\vec{r} = (r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\vec{q}$ such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq m$,*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{q\in FS[(r_n(i_n,j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} T_i^q(x_0) = x_0 \text{ (in particular, } T_i^{r_n(i_n,j_n)}(x_0) \to x_0\text{)},$$

uniformly for all $((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_n, j_n \leq n$.

Moreover, in case (X, G) is minimal, the set of such points x_0 is a dense subset of X.

Semigroup $(\mathbb{Z},+)$. As we described in Example 1.1(2), for a given increasing sequence $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $k_n \geq 2$, the set $\mathbb{Z}^*$ of the nonzero integer numbers can be identified with a set $L(\Sigma,\vec{k})$ of ω-located words, via the function

$$g : L(\Sigma,\vec{k}) \to \mathbb{Z}^*, \text{ with } \; g(z_{s_1}\dots z_{s_l}) = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{l} z_{s_i}(-1)^{s_i-1}l_{s_i-1}$$

where $l_0 = 1$ and $l_s = k_1 \dots k_s$, for $s > 0$.

We extend the function g to the set $L(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ of variable ω-located words corresponding to each $w = z_{s_1}\dots z_{s_l} \in L(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ a function $z = g(w)$ which sends every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k_{\min dom(w)}$, to

$$z(i) = g(T_i(w)) = \textstyle\sum_{s\in C} z_s(-1)^{s-1}l_{s-1} + \sum_{s\in V} i(-1)^{s-1}l_{s-1}.$$

where $C = \{s \in dom(w) : z_s \in \Sigma\}$ and $V = \{s \in dom(w) : \; z_s = \upsilon\}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}(\upsilon) = g(L(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon))$. Then the extended function

$$g : L(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\},\vec{k}) \to \mathbb{Z}^* \cup \mathbb{Z}(\upsilon)$$

is one-to-one and onto. For $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^* \cup \mathbb{Z}(\upsilon)$ we define the relation

$$z_1 <_{R_2} z_2 \iff g^{-1}(z_1) <_{R_2} g^{-1}(z_2).$$

So, $\{x_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq X$, where X is a topological space, can be considered as an R_2-net and consequently we can define, for $x_0 \in X$, $R_2\text{-}\lim_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^*} x_z = x_0$ iff for any neighborhood V of x_0, there exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_z \in V$ for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ with $\min dom(g^{-1}(z)) \geq n_0$.

Observe that $g(w_1 \star w_2) = g(w_1) + g(w_2)$ for every $w_1 <_{R_2} w_2 \in L(\Sigma \cup \{\upsilon\},\vec{k})$. So, if

$\vec{z} = (z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty(\upsilon) = \{(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} : z_n \in \mathbb{Z}(\upsilon) \text{ and } z_n <_{R_2} z_{n+1}\}$,

then the set of the extractions of $\vec{z}$ is

$EV^\infty(\vec{z}) = \{\vec{v} = (v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty(\upsilon) : v_n = g(u_n) \text{ for } (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in EV^\infty((g^{-1}(z_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})\}$ and the set of all the extracted integers of $\vec{z}$ is

$$E(\vec{z}) = \{z \in FS[(z_n(i_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}] : (i_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ with } 1 \leq i_n \leq k_n\} =$$
$$= \{g(w) : w \in E((g^{-1}(z_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}})\}.$$

Of course, $\{x_z\}_{z\in E(\vec{z})}$ is an R_2-subnet of $\{x_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^*}$.

Hence, via the function g, all the presented results relating to ω-located words give analogous results for the integers. For example Theorems 2.1, 2.6 give the following.

Theorem 3.3. *For every net $\{x_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^*}$ in a compact metric space (X,d), and $\vec{z} = (z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty(\upsilon)$ there exist an extraction $\vec{v} = (v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\vec{z}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that*

$$R_2\text{-}\lim_{z\in FS[(v_n(i_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} x_z = x_0 \;\; \textit{(in particular } x_{v_n(i_n)} \to x_0\textit{)},$$

uniformly for all $(i_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_n \leq k_n$.

We call a family $\{T^z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^*}$ of continuous functions of a topological space X into itself a $\mathbb{Z}^*$-system of X if $T^{z_1}T^{z_2}=T^{z_1+z_2}$ for $z_1<_{R_2}z_2$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{T^z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^*}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^*$-system of continuous maps of a compact metric space (X,d), $\vec{z}=(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{Z}^\infty(\upsilon)$ and $y\in X$. Then there exist an extraction $\vec{v}=(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\vec{z}$ and $x_0\in X$ such that*

$$R_2\text{-}\lim_{z\in FS[(v_n(i_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]}T^z(y)=x_0,\ R_2\text{-}\lim_{z\in FS[(v_n(i_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]}T^z(x_0)=x_0$$

uniformly for all $(i_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ with $1\le i_n\le k_n$.

As we described in Example 1.1(3), the set of natural numbers can be identified with a set $L(\Sigma,\vec{k})$ and consequently all the presented results relating to ω-located words give analogous recurrence results for the natural numbers.

We will now give some applications of the previously mentioned recurrence results for systems or nets indexed by words to systems or nets indexed by an arbitrary semigroup. For simplicity we will present only the case of commutative semigroups.

Let $(S,+)$ be a semigroup and $(y_{l,n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*}\subseteq S$ for every $l\in\mathbb{Z}^*$. Setting $\Sigma=\{\alpha_n:n\in\mathbb{Z}^*\}$, where $\alpha_n=n$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}^*$ and $\vec{k}=(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$, where $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(k_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are increasing sequences, we define the function

$$\varphi:\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})\to S\ \text{ with }\ \varphi(w_{n_1}\dots w_{n_m})=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^m y_{w_{n_i},n_i}.$$

We extend the function φ to the set $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ of variable words corresponding to each $w=w_{n_1}\dots w_{n_m}\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ a function $s=\varphi(w)$ which sends every $(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1\le j\le-\max dom^-(w)$, $1\le i\le\min dom^+(w)$, to $s(i,j)=\varphi(T_{(i,j)}(w))\in S$. In case $(S,+)$ is a commutative semigroup

$$s(i,j)=\varphi(w)((i,j))=\textstyle\sum_{t\in C}y_{w_t,t}+\sum_{t\in V^+}y_{i,t}+\sum_{t\in V^-}y_{-j,t},,$$

where $C=\{n\in dom(w):w_n\in\Sigma\}$, $V^-=\{n\in dom^-(w):w_n=\upsilon\}$ and $V^+=\{n\in dom^+(w):w_n=\upsilon\}$.

For a subset $\{x_s:s\in S\}$ of a topological space X we can consider the R_1-net $\{x_{\varphi(w)}\}_{w\in\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})}$ in X. Let $\vec{w}=(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Sigma,\vec{k};\upsilon)$ such that $R_1\text{-}\lim_{w\in\widetilde{E}(\vec{w})}x_{\varphi(w)}=x_0$, for $x_0\in X$. Then setting, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$s_n=\varphi(w_n):\{1,\dots,k_n\}\times\{1,\dots,k_{-n}\}\to X\text{ with}$$
$$s_n(i,j)=\textstyle\sum_{t\in C_n}y_{w_t,t}+\sum_{t\in V_n^+}y_{i,t}+\sum_{t\in V_n^-}y_{-j,t},$$

we have that

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{s\in FS[(s_n(i_n,j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]}x_s=x_0$$

uniformly for all $((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_n \leq k_n$, $1 \leq j_n \leq k_{-n}$. We write $R_1\text{-}\lim_{s\in FS[(s_n(i_n,j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} x_s = x_0$ if and only if for any neighborhood V of x_0, there exists $n_0 \equiv n_0(V) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_s \in V$ for every $s \in FS\big[\big(s_n(i_n, j_n)\big)_{n\geq n_0}\big]$.

Hence, via the function φ, all the presented results related to ω-$\mathbb{Z}^*$-located words give analogous results for nets indexed by an arbitrary semigroup. For example Theorems 2.1, 2.15 give the following.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(S,+)$ be a commutative semigroup and $(y_{l,n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq S$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. For every subset $\{x_s : s \in S\}$ of a compact metric space (X, d) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, functions $s_n : \{1,\dots,k_n\}\times\{1,\dots,k_{-n}\} \to X$ with*

$$s_n(i,j) = \textstyle\sum_{t\in C_n} y_{w_t,t} + \sum_{t\in V_n^+} y_{i,t} + \sum_{t\in V_n^-} y_{-j,t},$$

where $C_n = C_n^- \cup C_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$ with $\max C_{n+1}^- < \min C_n^- < \max C_n^+ < \min C_{n+1}^+$, $V_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\max V_n^+ < \min V_{n+1}^+$ and $V_n^- \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^-$ with $\min V_n^- > \max V_{n+1}^-$, such that

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{s\in FS[(s_n(i_n,j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} x_s = x_0 \text{ (in particular } x_{s_n(i_n,j_n)} \to x_0\text{)},$$

uniformly for every $((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_n \leq k_n$, $1 \leq j_n \leq k_{-n}$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(S,+)$ be a commutative semigroup and $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq S$. For every subset $\{x_s : s \in S\}$ of a compact metric space (X, d) and functions $p, q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS[(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*}]$, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS[(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]$ and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq FS[(y_{-n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]$ such that*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{s\in FS[(a_n+p(i_n)b_n+q(j_n)c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} x_s = x_0 \text{ (in particular } x_{a_n+p(i_n)b_n+q(j_n)c_n} \to x_0\text{)}$$

uniformly for every $((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i_n, j_n \leq n$.

Proof. Set $y_{l,n} = p(l)y_n$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_{l,n} = q(-l)y_n$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ and apply Theorem 3.5. □

Let $(S,+)$ be a commutative semigroup and $(y_{l,n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq S$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. We call a family $\{T^s\}_{s\in S}$ of continuous functions of a topological space X into itself an $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})$-system of S if $T^{\varphi(w_1)}T^{\varphi(w_2)} = T^{\varphi(w_1\star w_2)}$ for $w_1 <_{R_1} w_2 \in \widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(S,+)$ be a commutative semigroup, $(y_{l,n})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \subseteq S$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $\{T_1^s\}_{s\in S},\dots,\{T_m^s\}_{s\in S}$ be m $\widetilde{L}(\Sigma,\vec{k})$-systems of transformations of a compact metric space X, all contained in a commutative group G of homeomorphisms of X. Then, there exist $x_0 \in X$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, functions $s_n : \{1,\dots,k_n\}\times\{1,\dots,k_{-n}\} \to X$ with*

$$s_n(i,j) = \textstyle\sum_{t\in C_n} y_{w_t,t} + \sum_{t\in V_n^+} y_{i,t} + \sum_{t\in V_n^-} y_{-j,t},$$

where $C_n = C_n^- \cup C_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^*$ *with* $\max C_{n+1}^- < \min C_n^- < \max C_n^+ < \min C_{n+1}^+$, $V_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *with* $\max V_n^+ < \min V_{n+1}^+$ *and* $V_n^- \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^-$ *with* $\min V_n^- > \max V_{n+1}^-$, *such that*

$$R_1\text{-}\lim_{s \in FS[(s_n(i_n,j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}]} T_i^s(x_0) = x_0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

uniformly for every $((i_n, j_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ *with* $1 \leq i_n \leq k_n$, $1 \leq j_n \leq n$.

Moreover, in case (X, G) *is minimal, the set of such points* x_0 *is a dense subset of* X.

Acknowledgments. We thank Professor S. Negrepontis for helpful discussions and support during the preparation of this paper. The first author acknowledge partial support from the Kapodistrias research grant of Athens University. The second author acknowledge partial support from the State Scholarship Foundation of Greece.

REFERENCES

[Bi] M. V. Birkhoff, *Dynamical systems* , Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. vol. 9 (1927).

[BIP] T. Budak, N. Işik and J. Pym, *Subsemigroups of Stone-Čech compactifications*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **116** (1994), 99–118.

[C] T. Carlson, *Some unifying principles in Ramsey theory*, Discrete Math. **68** (1988), 117–169.

[F] V. Farmaki, *Ramsey Theory for Words over an infinite Alphabet*, arXiv: 0904.1948 v1 [math.CO], (2009).

[FK] V. Farmaki, A. Koutsogiannis, *Ramsey theory for words representing rationals*, arXiv: 0138067 [math.CO], (2010).

[Fu] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, (1981).

[FuKa] H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson, *Idempotents in compact semigroups and Ramsey theory*, Israel J. Math. **68**, (1989), 257–270.

[FuW] H. Furstenberg, B. Weiss, *Topological dynamics and combinatorial number theory*, J. d'Analyse Math. **34**, (1978), 61–85.

[H] N. Hindman, *Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of* $\mathbb{N}$, J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A **17** (1974), 1–11.

[vdW] B. L. van der Waerden, *Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **15** (1927), 212–216.

Vassiliki Farmaki:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ATHENS UNIVERSITY, PANEPISTEMIOPOLIS, 15784 ATHENS, GREECE
E-mail address: vfarmaki@math.uoa.gr

Andreas Koutsogiannis:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ATHENS UNIVERSITY, PANEPISTEMIOPOLIS, 15784 ATHENS, GREECE
E-mail address: akoutsos@math.uoa.gr